

REMARKS

Claims 1, 8 and 9 have been amended.

The applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the telephone interview conducted on June 28, 2011 with applicant's attorney. During that telephone interview, the examiner and applicant's attorney discussed applicant's claim 1 as amended by the Amendment filed June 20, 2011, and discussed the cited Lobiondo patent (US Patent 5,287,194). The Examiner indicated that the claims as amended patentably distinguish from the cited art.

During the telephone interview, the Examiner further indicated that claim 9 needs to be amended to add the term "non-transitory" before "computer-readable storage medium" so as to avoid a non-statutory subject matter rejection. Accordingly, as set forth above, claim 9 has been amended in a manner consistent with the Examiner's request.

The Examiner further indicated during the telephone interview that there is an antecedent problem in the first paragraph of claim 1 (as well as the other independent claims). Specifically, the Examiner indicated that the term "data" (without "the" preceding it) is used two times in the first paragraph and therefore it is unclear which "data" is being referred to in the phrase "so as to print the data on a remote printer ...". Applicant's attorney responded, during the telephone interview, that "the data" that is sent to the remote printer is the data that is sent over the global network and applicant's attorney suggested that the term "formatted" be added before "data over a global network" and that the term "formatted" be added before the last occurrence of "data" in the first paragraph. Support for the amendment is set forth in the published application at least in paragraph [0031]. The Examiner indicated that the suggested amendment would overcome the problem. Accordingly, independent claims 1, 8 and 9 have


been amended to add the term "formatted" in the locations agreed upon by the Examiner during the telephone interview.

In view of the telephone interview conducted with the Examiner and the above amendments, it is submitted that applicant's claims, as amended, now patentably distinguish over the cited art of record, and satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112. Accordingly, reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

Dated: June 30, 2011

COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C.
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-6799
T (212) 790-9200

Respectfully submitted,



Mark Montague
Reg. No. 36,612